

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/904,030 07/12/2001		/12/2001	Dennis L. Matthies	INTL-0574-US (P11419)	1948
7590 10/01/2003			EXAMINER		
Timothy N. T	rop		WALLS, DIONNE A		
TROP, PRUN		,			<del></del>
8554 KATY F	WY, STE	100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, 7	TX 7704	2-1805	1731		
		•		DATE MAIL ED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· in the second		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/904,030	MATTHIES, DENNIS L.	
Office Action Sur	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dionne A. Walls	1731	
The MAILING DATE of the Period for Reply	his communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above,</li> </ul>	COMMUNICATI er the provisions of 37 C late of this communication ess than thirty (30) days, the maximum statutory in period for reply will, by three months after the	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thin beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).	
1) Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed or	1		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
		allowance except for formal ma nder <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pen	ding in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are allo	owed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8-12 and 14</u> is	s/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) 2-7,13 and 15-1	7 is/are objected	to.		
8) Claim(s) are subjection Papers	ect to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is object	ted to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 12	2 <i>July 2003</i> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not reques	that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing co	rrection filed on _	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected dra	wings are required	in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is	objected to by th	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	nd 120			
13) Acknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐	None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of</li> </ol>	the priority docu	ments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of	the priority docur	ments have been received in A	pplication No	
application from	n the Internation:	priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application	
a) The translation of the 15) Acknowledgment is made		e provisional application has be mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.		
Attachment(s)		· ·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw Information Disclosure Statement(s)	ing Review (PTO-948	8) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/904,030

Art Unit: 1731

1 1 mes "

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - On page 3, line 7, change "Fig. 3" to -- Fig. 4 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 8-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gwyn et al (US. Pat. No. 2,476,145).

Gwyn discloses a method wherein sheets of glass are electrostatically charged (corresponding to the claimed "charging a first glass sheet") and moved along a conveyor to be dusted with a conductive layer of flour which is oppositely charged. After the treatment of the glass sheets in this manner they are stacked in a box (corresponding to the claimed "electrostatically adhering said first sheet to a second glass sheet/forming a composite of two electrostatically adhered glass sheets"). While Gwyn may not specifically state that the combined sheets are further processed and separated, these limitations are not deemed to impart a patentable distinction over Gwyn since it follows that the sheets would obviously be transported and delivered (corresponding to the claimed "processing") to their final destination where they would

Application/Control Number: 09/904,030

Art Unit: 1731

be unpacked (which would ultimately involve separating/peeling the sheets apart) for a particular use.

Regarding claims 8-10, grounding the glass sheets and the use of a corona source to charge said sheets are all conventional practices with respect to electrostatic charging of materials and, therefore, do not patentalby distinguish the claims from the Gwyn reference.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-7, 13 and 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 18-22 are allowed.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne A. Walls whose telephone number is (703) 305-0933. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

0661.

Art Unit: 1731

Dionne A. Walls

September 25, 2003